

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/774,858	02/09/2004	Chia-Shan Wu	722-72	9497	
7	590 01/05/2006		EXAMINER		
Yuri Kateshov DILWORTH & BARRESE, LLP			PATTERSON, MARIE D		
333 Earle Ovington Blvd.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Uniondale, N	7 11553		3728	·	
			DATE MAILED: 01/05/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			(
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/774,858	WU, CHIA-SHAN					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Marie Patterson	3728					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was pailure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 De</u>	ecember 2005.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5 and 7-23</u> is/are pending in the a	pplication.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 16-20 is/are withdraw	n from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5,7-15,21-23 and 103</u> is/are rejected.	6) Claim(s) 5,7-15,21-23 and 103 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents)-(d) or (f).					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •						
application from the International Bureau	·						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/9/04</u> .	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/774,858 Page 2

Art Unit: 3728

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Grou p I in the reply filed on 12/7/05 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the examination and search of an additional invention would not be a serious burden on the Examiner. This is not found persuasive because searching and examining additional claims to an additional invention clearly is a burden on the Examiner. The claims clearly are additional work and the searching of method steps in addition to structure for an article is clearly another additional burden.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

2. Claims 16-20 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 12/7/05.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 7, 9, 10, and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Linnemann (UK 2014034).

Art Unit: 3728

Linnemann shows a shoe comprising an upper (14), and outsole (1) with a barrier wall (2), and outsole wall (4), an insole/lining (10), and a sealant/cement (page 1 lines 95-100) as claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Linnemann.

Linnemann discloses the claimed invention except for the exact height and thickness ranges of the barrier wall. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the barrier wall with a height of between 10-20mm and a thickness of between 0.5 and 1.5mm, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

7. Claims 5 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Linnemann in view of either Chen (6412193) or Chen (6115940).

Linnemann shows a shoe substantially as claimed except for the exact type of cement/glue used. Chen '193 or '940 teaches the well known and conventional use of waterproof cements for sole attaching/sealing in the art of waterproof footwear. It would have been obvious to use the well known and conventional waterproof cement as

Application/Control Number: 10/774,858

Art Unit: 3728

taught by either Chen '193 or '940 in the footwear of Linnemann to further waterproof the footwear.

8. Claims 11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Linnemann in view of Tiitola (4876807).

Linnemann shows a shoe substantially as claimed except for a midsole element.

Tiitola teaches providing an insole/lining (2) and a midsole (9) in a cavity of an outsole

(3) with an integral barrier wall (4). It would have been obvious to provide an insole/lining and midsole as taught by Tiitola in the shoe of Linnemann to increase the comfort of the shoe.

9. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claims 11 and 13 above, and further in view of either Serna (5983529) or McDaniel (2547480).

Linnemann as modified above shows a shoe substantially as claimed except for slits in the midsole. Either Serna or McDaniel teaches providing slits (figure 1B or element 9 or 23) in a midsole layer to increase flexibility. It would have been obvious to provide slits as taught by either Serna or McDaniel in the shoe of Linnemann as modified above to increase flexibility of the sole.

10. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claims 5 and 14 above, and further in view of Linnemann in view of Tiitola (4876807).

Linnemann as modified above shows a shoe substantially as claimed except for a midsole element. Tiitola teaches providing an insole/lining (2) and a midsole (9) in a

Application/Control Number: 10/774,858 Page 5

Art Unit: 3728

cavity of an outsole (3) with an integral barrier wall (4). It would have been obvious to provide an insole/lining and midsole as taught by Tiitola in the shoe of Linnemann as modified above to increase the comfort of the shoe.

1. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). Other useful information can be obtained at the PTO Home Page at www.uspto.gov.

In order to avoid potential delays, Technology Center 3700 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Center at <u>(572)272-8300</u> (FORMAL FAXES ONLY). Please identify Examiner <u>Marie Patterson</u> of Art Unit <u>3728</u> at the top of your cover sheet.

Any inquiry concerning the MERITS of this examination from the examiner should be directed to Marie Patterson whose telephone number is (571) 272-4559. The examiner can normally be reached from 6AM - 4PM Mon-Wed.

Marie Patterson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3728